## Oklahoma Pardon and Parole Board

Voting for December 2016
Table 1. Parole Docket, December 2016*

|  | Docket Total |  | Favorable Votes |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Non-Violent | 117 | $64.6 \%$ | 22 | $18.8 \%$ |
| Violent | 64 | 35.4 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 2 \%}$ |

* Ineligible not included in analysis (10 or 5.2\%)

Table 2. Favorable Votes, by Member ( $\mathrm{n}=181$ )

|  | Non-Violent (117) |  | Violent (64) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of <br> Favorable <br> Votes | Percent | Number of <br> Favorable <br> Votes | Percent |
| Vanessa Price (Chair) | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Patricia High (Vice-Chair) | 24 | $20.5 \%$ | 5 | $7.8 \%$ |
| Robert "Brett" Macy | 20 | 17.1 | 4 | 6.3 |
| Thomas Gillert | 30 | 25.6 | 4 | 6.3 |
| William Latimer | 33 | 28.2 | 5 | 7.8 |
| BOARD CONSENSUS TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |

Table 3. Protests, by Type ( $\mathrm{n}=181$ )

|  | Victim | District <br> Attorney | Delegates <br> Supporting <br> the Offender |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Violent | 0 | 12 | 15 |
| Violent | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| TOTAL PROTESTS | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |

Table 4. Conditions of Parole for Non-Violent Offenders* (n=22)

|  | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Monthly Urinalysis (MUAs) | 18 | $81.8 \%$ |
| Substance Abuse Treatment | 18 | $81.8 \%$ |
| Psychological Counseling | 12 | 54.5 |
| Sober Living Facility | 4 | 18.2 |
| Community Level/Work Release | 3 | 13.6 |
| Detainer | 3 | 13.6 |
| Interlock Device | 3 | 13.6 |
| Anger Management | 2 | 9.1 |
| Education/GED | 2 | 9.1 |
| Parenting Class | 1 | 9.1 |
| Consecutive Sentence | 1 | 4.5 |
| Battle Buddies | 1 | 4.5 |
| Cognitive Behavioral Treatment | 1 | 4.5 |
| Faith and Character Program | 1 | 4.5 |
| Tribal Re-Entry Program | 2 | 4.5 |

*The Board may impose or recommend stipulations, conditions, and/or limitations in the granting or the recommendation of parole. These may include programs, services and/or limitations. Stipulations must be completed prior to release from prison. Conditions must be completed post- release while on parole. Limitations may include urinalysis or interlock. Offenders may be ordered to more than one stipulation, condition and/or limitation of parole.

Table 5. Number of Conditions of Parole per Offender ( $\mathrm{n}=22$ )

|  | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| One | 4 | $18.2 \%$ |
| Two | 3 | 13.6 |
| Three | 5 | 22.7 |
| Four | 5 | 22.7 |
| Five | 3 | 13.6 |
| Six | 2 | 9.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Average Number of Conditions (per offender) | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ |  |
| Range | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |

